

**Optimization of BNR from Wastewater Using SBR  
and A<sup>2</sup>O Processes**

by

**Guo, Lei (Eric)**

**A thesis submitted for partial fulfillment of the requirements for the  
degree of**

**Master of Science in Civil Engineering**

**2011**



**Faculty of Science and Technology  
University of Macau**

## CONTENT

VITA.....	iii
ABSTRACT.....	iv
LIST OF FIGURES .....	vi
LIST OF TABLES.....	viii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS.....	ix
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	xi
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION.....	1
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW .....	3
2.1 Biological Nutrient Removal (BNR).....	3
2.1.1 Stoichiometry.....	3
2.1.2 History and current situation.....	5
2.2 A <sup>2</sup> O and SBR processes.....	8
2.2.1 SBR process.....	8
2.2.2 A <sup>2</sup> O process.....	9
2.3 Influencing factors for organic and nutrient removal .....	10
2.3.1 Influencing factors for organic removal.....	10
2.3.2 Influencing factors for nitrogen removal .....	12
2.3.3 Influencing factors of phosphorus removal.....	16
CHAPTER THREE: MATERIALS AND METHODS .....	19
3.1 Reactor Setup and Operation .....	19
3.1.1 Experimental set-up.....	19
3.1.2 Synthetic and real wastewater.....	20
3.2 Analytical Methods.....	21
CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION .....	28
4.1 Effect of hydraulic retention time (HRT) .....	28
4.1.1 Effect of HRT on SBR process.....	28
4.1.2 Effect of HRT on A <sup>2</sup> O process .....	40
4.1.3 Comparison of HRT effect on SBR and A <sup>2</sup> O processes .....	43
4.2 Effect of influent COD/TP (C/P) ratio.....	45
4.2.1 Effect of influent C/P ratio on SBR process .....	45
4.2.2 Effect of influent C/P ratio on A <sup>2</sup> O process.....	53
4.2.3 Comparison of influent C/P ratio effect on SBR and A <sup>2</sup> O processes.....	56
4.3 Effect of influent chloride concentration .....	57
4.3.1 Effect of chloride on SBR process.....	57
4.3.2 Effect of chloride on A <sup>2</sup> O process .....	68
4.3.3 Comparison of Cl <sup>-</sup> effect on SBR and A <sup>2</sup> O processes.....	69
4.4 Real wastewater test.....	73
4.4.1 SBR performance fed with real wastewater.....	73

4.4.2 A <sup>2</sup> O performance fed with real wastewater .....	76
4.4.3 Comparison of organic and nutrient removal in SBR and A <sup>2</sup> O processes fed with real wastewater .....	79
CHAPTER FIVE: CONCLUSION.....	81
RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH.....	84
BIBLIOGRAPHY.....	85



University of Macau

ABSTRACT

Optimization of BNR from Wastewater Using SBR and A<sup>2</sup>O Processes

by

Guo, Lei (Eric)

Thesis Supervisor: Prof. Shim, Hojae

Master of Science in Civil Engineering

Comparative study of biological nutrient removal (BNR) in lab-scale SBR (sequencing batch reactor) and A<sup>2</sup>O (anaerobic/anoxic/oxic) reactor was conducted using synthetic and real wastewater. In synthetic wastewater test, the effects of HRT (hydraulic retention time), as an operating parameter, and some characteristics of influent wastewater, such as COD (chemical oxygen demand)/TP (total phosphorus) (C/P) ratios and chloride concentrations were investigated for the two processes. The optimum performance of the two reactors was both realized at 13.8-h HRT. Relatively higher TN (total nitrogen) and TP removal efficiencies were observed in the SBR. Adverse effect of influent C/P ratio on phosphorus removal was observed in both reactors. The highest TP removal efficiencies in the two processes were realized with influent C/P ratio of 200, at 91.0 and 83.3%, respectively. As PAOs (phosphorus accumulating organisms) competed with denitrifiers for external carbon source, nitrogen removal was affected with influent C/P ratios lower than 80 in A<sup>2</sup>O process. Excellent COD removal (higher than 95%) was always achieved for different influent C/P ratios in the two reactors. Organic and nutrient removal was obviously affected by the changing of influent Cl<sup>-</sup> concentration from 150 to 5,000 mg/L in both reactors. COD removal efficiency decreased linearly from 96.4 and 94.8 to 48.4 and 63.0% for SBR and A<sup>2</sup>O reactor, respectively, with the increase of chloride concentration from 95 to 5,000 mg/L. Regarding nitrogen removal, only nitrification process was influenced when 5,000 mg/L chloride was introduced into the influent of SBR. Phosphorus removal was negatively affected when influent chloride concentrations were higher than 1,500 mg/L, and effluent TP concentration kept increasing with

further increase of influent chloride concentration. In real wastewater test, percentages for COD, BOD<sub>5</sub> (biochemical oxygen demand), NH<sub>3</sub>-N, TN, and TP removals were averaged at 88.6, 97.5, 89.3, 82.7, and 71.5%, respectively, for SBR process, while the values were 86.0, 97.5, 88.9, 84.7, and 67.5, respectively, for A<sup>2</sup>O process. The effluent from SBR and A<sup>2</sup>O processes can almost meet the wastewater discharge permit of China (1st grade B), except for a little higher effluent TP concentrations. There is a great potential to upgrade the UNITANK and traditional activated sludge processes to SBR and A<sup>2</sup>O systems for advanced wastewater treatment in Taipa and Macau plants, respectively.

