



**FACULTY OF LAW
UNIVERSITY OF MACAU**

MASTER DEGREE THESIS

**Modernizing the Laws for the
Collateralization of Intellectual Property:
*China in a World Perspective***

STUDENT: LIN MIN (M-A8-6122-6)

SUPERVISOR: PROF. DR. ROSTAM J. NEUWIRTH

PROGRAMME: MASTER OF INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS LAW

TIME OF SUBMISSION: April 6, 2011

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABBREVIATIONS	VII
INTRODUCTION	1
CHAPTER I BASICS OF IP COLLATERALIZATION	5
1.1 General Remarks on IP Collateralization	5
1.2 The Significance of IP Collateralization	7
1.3 General Practice of IP Collateralization in Some Jurisdictions.....	10
1.4 Impediments to the Success of IP Collateralization.....	14
1.4.1 Uncertainty Induced by the Nature of IP	14
1.4.2 The Lack of Reliable Valuation Methods for the Valuation of IP	20
1.4.3 The Absence of an Effective and Unitary Legal Framework.....	22
CHAPTER II INTERNATIONAL EFFORTS FOR THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A UNITARY LEGAL SYSTEM FOR IP COLLATERALIZATION	25
2.1 General Remarks.....	25
2.2 UNCITRAL's Efforts on IP Collateralization.....	28
2.3 The Scope of IP Eligible for Collateralization.....	34
2.3.1 Encompassing IP to the Maximum Extent.....	35
2.3.2 The Creation of Security Rights in Future IP.....	36
2.3.3 The Treatment of Royalties	37
2.4 The Creation of Security Rights.....	40
2.4.1 The Preference to the General Description of Encumbered IP	41
2.4.2 The Distinction between IP and Tangible Assets.....	43
2.5 Pre-default Rights and Obligations of Grantors and Secured Creditors.....	44
2.5.1 The Grantor's Obligation to Maintain the encumbered IP.....	45
2.5.2 The Secured Creditor's Right to Maintain the Encumbered IP.....	47
2.5.3 The Secured Creditor's Status over the Encumbered IP	47
2.6 The Registry System and Third-Party Effectiveness of Security Rights.....	49
2.6.1 The Co-Existence of the General Registry and IP-Specific Registries	51
2.6.2 The Effectiveness of the Registration after a Transfer of the Encumbered IP	53
2.7 Priority Rules of Multiple Security Rights	55
2.7.1 Providing Priority to Registrations in IP-Specific Registries.....	56
2.7.2 Introducing the Exception to Ordinary Course of Business to Security Rights in IP	56
2.8 The Enforcement of Security Interests in IP	58
2.9 A Critical Review of UNCITRAL's Efforts.....	59

CHAPTER III THE DEVELOPMENT OF IP COLLATERALIZATION IN CHINA	65
3.1 The Evolution of the Legal System of IP Collateralization	65
3.1.1 The First Stage: The Acceptance of IP Collateralization in the Guarantee Law of 1995	65
3.1.2 The Second Stage: The Revisions in the Real Rights Law of 2007	68
3.1.3 The Third Stage: New Implementing Regulations and Changes in IP Laws Since 2009	71
3.2 The Historical Development of IP Collateralization in Practice.....	73
3.2.1 The Initial Phase: 1995 – 2006	73
3.2.2 The Development Phase: 2007 – 2008	74
3.2.3 The Refinement Phase: 2009 to the Present.....	77
3.2.4 The Status Quo of IP Collateralization in China.....	79
CHAPTER IV A COMPARATIVE EXAMINATION OF THE LEGAL REGIME OF IP COLLATERALIZATION IN CHINA.....	82
4.1 The Scope of IP Eligible for Ccollateralization	82
4.1.1 General Requirements: Property Rights in Legally Assignable IP	82
4.1.2 Fragmentation between the Real Rights Law with IP laws	83
4.1.3 The Prohibition of Collateralizing the Right to Apply for Patents.....	87
4.2 The Creation of Security Interests in IP in China	90
4.2.1 A Unitary Security Device: The Pledge.....	90
4.2.2 A Distinction between Creation of Security Interests and Its Third-Party Effectiveness	91
4.2.3 The Specific Description of Encumbered Assets in Security Agreements	92
4.3 The Registry System and Third-party Effectiveness	95
4.3.1 Specialized IP Registry Systems.....	95
4.3.2 A Document-based Registration with Substantive Scrutiny	96
4.4 Pre-Default Rights and Obligations of the Grantor and Secured Creditors	100
4.4.1 Mandatory Rules.....	101
4.4.2 Non-Mandatory Rules.....	103
4.5 Priority Rules of Multiple Security Rights	106
4.6 The Enforcement of Security Interests in IP	107
4.7 A Summary of the Findings of the Comparative Study	108
4.7.1 Problems with the Chinese Legal Regime on IP Collateralization	108
4.7.2 Deficiencies of the Guide and the Supplement	111
4.8 Proposal for the Reform of the Legislation in China	113
CONCLUSION	117
BIBLIOGRAPHY	120

ABSTRACT

Set against the backdrop of the current knowledge-based economy, the collateralization of intellectual property (IP), i.e. the use of IP as collateral to secure debts or obligations, is a timely topic. By viewing IP as a kind of financial asset, IP collateralization may not only offer effective solutions to hard fund-raising problems of IP intensive enterprises, but also indicate more efficient ways for financial investors to diversify their investment portfolios so as to lower and spread the related risks. However, the characteristics inherent in the nature of IP and the cross-cutting nature of IP collateralization cause certain discrepancies and uncertainties in the laws governing IP collateralization, which contribute to the high risks and costs associated with IP collateralization and also to the under-expected performance of IP collateralization in practice. With the growing significance of IP in international trade and the global financial market, numerous efforts have been made at both the international and the national level for resolving the legal challenges brought about by the collateralization of IP. Through exploring and evaluating the international efforts, especially those led by the United Nations Commission on International Trade Law (UNCITRAL), this thesis explores the difficulties and the underlying principles of building an efficient unitary legal regime for IP collateralization. Furthermore, it is based on a comparative study between the efforts of UNCITRAL and those of the Chinese regulators/legislator that this thesis points out the fragmentation and other problems in current Chinese laws regarding IP collateralization. The analysis equally reveals various key points that are necessary for the transformation of modern secured transactions laws and IP laws into a unitary legal regime in China. These key points constitute the basis for the subsequent formulation of recommendations for the modernization of Chinese laws regarding IP collateralization.

Keywords: IP collateralization, security interests in IP, UNCITRAL, China