



MASTER THESIS

FACULTY OF LAW

UNIVERSITY OF MACAU

THE PRELIMINARY RULING:

JURISDICTIONAL MECHANISM OF COOPERATION

BETWEEN THE COURT OF JUSTICE OF THE EUROPEAN

UNION AND NATIONAL COURTS

SUPERVISOR: PROF. PAULO CANELAS DE CASTRO
STUDENT NAME: LU YUN
STUDENT NUMBER: M-A7-6144-2
TIME OF SUBMISSION: 13 / 10 / 2010

**A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of
Master of European Union Law, Faculty of Law, University of Macau**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|--|----|
| MASTER THESIS..... | I |
| FACULTY OF LAW | I |
| DECLARATION OF AUTHORSHIP | I |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS..... | I |
| ABSTRACT | 1 |
| ABBREVIATIONS | 2 |
| INTRODUCTION | 3 |
| Background..... | 3 |
| The EU and Its Complete Judicial System | 3 |
| The Necessity of the Preliminary Ruling Procedure | 3 |
| Significance | 4 |
| Functions of the Mechanism..... | 5 |
| To Ensure an Uniform Application of EU law throughout the EU..... | 5 |
| To Develop EU Law..... | 6 |
| Principle of Direct Effect..... | 6 |
| The Primacy of EU Law | 8 |
| To Protect Citizens and Individuals..... | 9 |
| To Enhance the Relationship between the European Court and National Courts..... | 11 |
| Brief Methodology..... | 12 |
| 1 GENERAL DISCUSSION OF PRELIMINARY RULING..... | 13 |
| 1.1 Historical Review of the Constitutional Rules Relating to the Preliminary Ruling | 13 |
| 1.1.1 ECSC Article 41..... | 13 |
| 1.1.2 EC Article 234 [ex Article 177 EEC Treaty] | 14 |
| 1.1.3 EURATOM Article 150..... | 15 |
| 1.1.4 Contribution of SEA..... | 16 |
| 1.1.5 Restrictions on Jurisdiction under the EU Treaty | 17 |
| 1.1.5.1 The Treaty of Maastricht..... | 17 |
| 1.1.5.2 Amendments Introduced by The Treaty of Amsterdam..... | 17 |
| 1.1.6 The Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union Article 267 (Amendments Introduced by the Treaty of Lisbon) | 19 |
| 1.2 The Subject Matters of the Preliminary Reference..... | 22 |
| 1.2.1 Interpretation of the Treaties..... | 22 |
| 1.2.1.1 The Basic Treaties and the Treaties Amending Them | 22 |
| 1.2.1.2 Exceptions..... | 24 |
| 1.2.2 Interpretation on Acts of the Institutions, Bodies, Offices or Agencies of the European Union | 25 |
| 1.2.2.1 Institutions, Bodies, Offices or Agencies of the European Union | 25 |
| 1.2.2.2 Acts | 27 |

| | |
|--|----|
| 1.2.3 Validity of Acts of the Institutions, Bodies, Offices or Agencies | 28 |
| 2 FROM THE ANGLE OF NATIONAL COURTS | 30 |
| 2.1 Definition of National Courts or Tribunals..... | 30 |
| 2.1.1 Vaassen Criteria | 30 |
| 2.1.1.1 The Vaassen Case..... | 31 |
| 2.1.1.2 The Corbiau Case..... | 32 |
| 2.1.1.3 The Broekmeulen Case..... | 32 |
| 2.1.2 Arbitration Tribunal | 34 |
| 2.1.2.2 The Case Involved the Element of Private Agreements | 34 |
| 2.1.2.2 The Case Involved the Element of Public Control | 36 |
| 2.1.3 Assessment of the Practice | 37 |
| 2.2 Preliminary Reference | 39 |
| 2.2.1 The Discretion Enjoyed by National Courts or Tribunals | 40 |
| 2.2.2 Courts or Tribunals Obligated to Refer | 43 |
| 2.2.2.1 “The Concrete Theory”..... | 43 |
| 2.2.2.2 The Exception: “Acte Clair” | 44 |
| 2.2.3 Assessment..... | 46 |
| 3 FROM THE ANGLE OF THE COURT OF JUSTICE OF THE EUROPEAN UNION | 50 |
| 3.1 Reasons of the Inadmissibility of Reference | 50 |
| 3.1.1 Body of Application | 50 |
| 3.1.2 Time to Refer | 50 |
| 3.1.3 Context to Refer..... | 50 |
| 3.1.3.1 Hypothetical Reference | 51 |
| 3.1.3.2 Raised Questions not relating to the Substantive Dispute..... | 53 |
| 3.1.3.3 Insufficiently Clear Facts for the Court to be able to apply the Relevant Legal Rules..... | 55 |
| 3.2 Assessing the Reasons | 56 |
| 4 THE LEGAL EFFECT OF PRELIMINARY RULINGS..... | 58 |
| 4.1 The Legal Effect of the Case Referred | 58 |
| 4.2 The Legal Effect of the More Extensive Ruling than Requested | 59 |
| 4.3 The Legal Effect of Similar Cases..... | 60 |
| 4.3.1 On the Side of the CJEU..... | 60 |
| 4.3.2 On the Side of National Courts..... | 61 |
| 4.4 A Need for Uniform Ruling on the Effect of a Preliminary Ruling..... | 62 |
| 5 THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE CJEU AND NATIONAL COURTS UNDER ARTICLE 267 | 63 |
| 5.1 Cooperation Relationship | 63 |
| 5.1.1 Obligation of Cooperation Prescribed in the Treaty | 63 |
| 5.1.2 Obligation of Cooperation in Practice | 63 |
| 5.2 Characteristics of the Cooperation..... | 64 |
| 5.2.1 Equal and Independent | 64 |
| 5.2.2 Binding and the Actual Ultimate Controller..... | 66 |
| 5.3 The Unique Relationship between the National Court and the CJEU..... | 67 |

| | |
|---|----|
| CONCLUSION..... | 72 |
| BIBLIOGRAPHY..... | 73 |
| Primary Material | 73 |
| Legislation | 73 |
| Table of Cases | 74 |
| The European Court of Justice and the General Court (ex CFI): | 74 |
| Secondary Material | 79 |
| Monographs | 79 |
| Articles..... | 82 |