

ED 3A
052
1/9

UNIVERSITY OF MACAU
FACULTY OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

**PERCEIVED APPROPRIATENESS AND ABILITY TO
ENGAGE IN COGNITIVE AND EMOTIONAL
DECEPTION IN CHINA – MACAU**

Gordon Ng Tsz Shing

M-A5-5703-2

Thesis presented to the
Faculty of Business Administration
University of Macau

In partial fulfillment for granting the MBA Degree

2008

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iii
LIST OF TABLES	vii
LIST OF FIGURES	x
1.0 – INTRODUCTION	1
<i>1.1 Statement of the Problem</i>	1
<i>1.2 Purpose and Objectives of the Research</i>	3
<i>1.3 Implications of the Study</i>	3
<i>1.4 Structure of the Chapters</i>	4
2.0 – LITERATURE REVIEW	5
<i>2.1 Negotiation</i>	5
<i>2.2 Definition of Lying</i>	6
<i>2.3 Development of Ethically Marginal Negotiation Tactics</i>	7
<i>2.4 Definition of Emotion</i>	9
<i>2.5 Further Development of Ethically Marginal Negotiation Tactics</i>	10
<i>2.6 Relationship between Using Deceptive Tactics and Outcomes</i>	13
<i>2.7 Relationship between Gender and Negotiation Tactics</i>	14
<i>2.8 Ethical Attitudes across cultures</i>	15
<i>2.9 The role of ethical attitudes in business-to-business negotiating in China</i>	17
<i>2.10 The Chinese Business Negotiation Style</i>	19
<i>2.11 Summary of the Literature Review</i>	22

2.12 Research Questions	24
2.13 Summary of Research Hypothesis	25
3.0 – METHODOLOGY	27
3.1 Quantitative and Qualitative Approach	27
3.1.1 Quantitative Approach	27
3.1.2 Qualitative Approach	27
3.2 Data Collection.....	28
3.2.1 Location of Study	28
3.2.2 Sampling of Respondents	29
3.2.3 Target Samples	29
3.2.4 Student Samples Selection.....	31
3.2.5 Data Collection Procedures - Quantitative Approach	31
3.2.5.1 Development of Questionnaire.....	31
3.2.5.2 Measures on Appropriateness and Ability to Engagement.....	33
3.2.5.3 Pilot Study.....	34
3.2.6 Data Collection Procedures - Qualitative Approach	36
3.3 Analytical Methods.....	37
3.3.1 Quantitative Approach	38
3.3.1.1 Analysis for Cognitive and Emotional Tactics	38
3.3.2 Qualitative Approach	40
3.3.2.1 Data reduction.....	40
3.3.2.2 Data display	41
3.3.2.3 Conclusion drawing.....	41

3.3.2.4 Verification.....	41
4.0 – RESULTS	43
4.1 <i>Quantitative Study - Results</i>	43
4.1.1 <i>Data Coding</i>	43
4.1.2 <i>Descriptive Statistics</i>	43
4.1.3 <i>Intercorrelations among Deceptive Tactics</i>	49
4.1.4 <i>Repeated Measures ANOVA</i>	53
4.1.5 <i>Relationship between Gender and Negotiation Tactical Ratings</i>	57
4.1.6 <i>Relationship between Faculty and Negotiation Tactical Ratings</i>	63
4.2 <i>Qualitative Study - Results</i>	70
4.2.1 <i>Data Reduction</i>	70
4.2.2 <i>Data Display</i>	76
4.2.3 <i>Conclusion Drawing</i>	79
4.2.4 <i>Verification</i>	79
5.0 – DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION	81
5.1 <i>Summary of Findings</i>	81
5.2 <i>Discussion</i>	84
5.3 <i>Conclusion</i>	85
5.4 <i>Implications of the findings</i>	86
5.5 <i>Limitation</i>	89
REFERENCES	90
APPENDICES.....	95
Appendix I – Self-reported Inappropriate Negotiation Strategies (SINS) Scale	95

Appendix II – Self-reported Inappropriate Negotiation Strategies (SINS) II Scale.....	96
Appendix III – Self-reported Inappropriate Negotiation Strategies (SINS) II Scale (Chinese Version).....	98
Appendix IV – Self-reported Inappropriate Negotiation Strategies (SINS) II Scale (Back Translated Version).....	100
Appendix V – Comparison Table between Original SINSII Scale and Back Translated Version.....	105
Appendix VI – Self-reported Inappropriate Negotiation Strategies (SINS) III Scale..	110
Appendix VII – SINS II Scale Scoring Key	114
Appendix VIII – Template for Statistics and Intercorrelations for Appropriateness Ratings	115
Appendix IX – Proposed probing questions for interviews.....	116
Appendix X – Comparison Table between Cognitive and Emotion Tactics (Both Appropriateness and Ability).....	117