

**UNIVERSITY OF MACAU, FACULTY OF LAW, MASTER IN
EUROPEAN, INTERNATIONAL AND COMPARATIVE LAW**

**SETTLEMENT OF INTERNATIONAL INVESTMENT
DISPUTES BY ARBITRATION: AN ANALYSIS OF THE
CHALLENGE OF INCONSISTENCY IN THE OUTCOMES
OF INVESTMENT ARBITRATIONS BETWEEN
INVESTORS AND STATES AND THE AVAILABLE
REMEDIES**

AUTHOR: ANSOUMANE DOUTY DIAKITE

2006-2008

TABLE OF CONTENT

ABSTRACT

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

ABREVIATION

ACKNOWLEDGMENT	10
CHAPTER-I-INTRODUCTION	12
1.1-OVERVIEW OF THE CHALLENGE	12
1.2-LITERATURE REVIEW	17
1.3-MAJOR OBJECTIVES OF THE THESIS:.....	19
1.4-RESEARCH QUESTIONS.....	19
1.5-METHODOLOGY	21
1.6-SCOPE AND LIMITATION	22
1.7-CHAPTER LAYOUT	22
CHAPTER-II-THE STUDY OF INCONSISTENT CASES.....	24
2.1-INCONSISTENCY IN THE INTERPRETATION OF THE NATIONAL TREATMENT PROVISION.....	24
2.1.1-SCOPE OF THE NATIONAL TREATMENT PROVISION	24
2.1.2-APPLICATION OF PROVISION	25
2.1.3-THE ARBITRAL TRIBUNAL INTERPRETATION OF THE APPLICATION OF NATIONAL TREATMENT PROVISION.....	26
2.2-INCONSISTENCY IN THE INTERPRETATION OF THE SCOPE OF THE MFN PROVISION	30
2.2.1- CONCEPT OF MFN	30
2.2.2-INTERPRETATION OF THE SCOPE OF THE PROVISION	31
2.2.2.1- The broad interpretation of MNF provision by including investor procedural right: <i>Maffezini v. Kingdom of Spain in 2000</i>	31
2.2.2.2-Restrictive interpretation with exclusion of applicability of the MFN on procedural right: <i>Salini Constutorri S.p.A and Italstrade S.p.A. v. the Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan / Plama Consortium Limited v. Republic of bulgaria</i>	35
2.2.2.3-The recent case on the MFN extension on the procedural right: Spanish company Gas Natural SDG S.A. against Argentina in 2005.....	38

2.2.2.4-Summary.....	38
2.3-INCONSISTENCY IN THE INTERPRETATION OF THE SCOPE OF THE FAIR AND EQUITABLE STANDARD..	39
2.3.1-THE CONCEPT OF THE FAIR AND EQUITABLE TREATMENT	39
2.3.2-INCONSISTENT INTERPRETATION OF THE NATURE OF THE STANDARD	40
2.3.2. 1-Fair and Equitable Treatment as a part of the international minimum standard required by customary international law	40
2.3.2.1.1-The content of the customary international minimum standard (CIMS).....	41
2.3.2.1.2- The cases laws supporting the customary international minimum as reference for FET	44
2.3.2.1.2.1- Cases arising under BIT	44
2.3.2.1.2.2-Cases rendered in NAFTA context	45
2.3.2.1.3- Case law rejecting the customary international minimum standard as sole reference for FET in NAFTA context	47
2.3.2.2- Fair and Equitable Treatment as a part of international law including conventional obligations and/or General principles	50
2.3.2.3- Fair and Equitable Treatment as a standard based on the fairness and equity	53
2.3.2.4-Summary	55
2.4-THE INCONSISTENCY IN THE INTERPRETATION OF THE CONCEPT OF COMPENSABLE INDIRECT EXPROPRIATION WITH SPECIFIC REFERENCE TO THE EXERCISE OF STATE REGULATORY POWER	56
2.4.1- OVERVIEW OF THE PROBLEM	56
2.4.2 THE APPROACHES OF THE CASE LAWS	58
2.4.2 .1-The jurisprudence in favor of investor protection in the detriment of state right to regulate without paying compensation	58
2.4.2 .2-The jurisprudence in favor of state right to regulate but with limitation	61
2.4.2 .3-Summary:.....	64
2.5-THE INCONSISTENCY IN THE INTERPRETATION OF THE SCOPE OF THE UMBRELLA CLAUSE.....	65
2.5.1-THE CONCEPT OF UMBRELLA CLAUSE.....	65
2.5.2-THE APPROACHES OF THE CASE LAW	66
2.5.2.1-Umbrella clause: not an elevator clause.....	67
2.5.2.2-An umbrella clause: an elevator clause.....	74
2.5.2.3-Summary	78
CHAPTER III-CAUSES AND CONSEQUENCES OF INCONSISTENCY	82
3.1-CAUSES.....	82
3.1.1- BROADNESS OF INVESTMENT TREATY PROVISION.	82
3.1.2-MULTIPLE PROCEEDING BEFORE THE ARBITRAL TRIBUNAL.....	86
3.1.3-FORUM SHOPPING BY FOREIGN INVESTOR	90
3.1.4-LACK OF CONSOLIDATION OF THE CLAIM	91
3.1.5-METHOD OF INTERPRETATION ADOPTED BY THE ARBITRATORS	93

3.1.6-LACK OF RULE OF PRECEDENT/LACK OF APPELLATE BODY.....	91
3.2-CONSEQUENCES:.....	98
3.2.1 – POSITIVES CONSEQUENCES	98
3.2.2-NEGATIVE CONSEQUENCES:.....	99
3.2.2.1- Consequence on the dispute settlement mechanism: Legitimacy crisis	99
3.2.2.1.1-Determinacy in the implementation of treaty provision:.....	99
3.2.2.1.2-Coherence	100
3.2.2.1.3-The result of the determinacy and coherence:.....	100
3.2.2.2-Consequence on state regulatory power: Human right inspired measure.....	86

CHAPTER IV-AN ANALYSIS OF SOME REMEDIES TO OVERCOME INCONSISTENCY BETWEEN ARBITRAL AWARDS 111

4.1- REMEDIES PROVIDED BY ARBITRATION RULES.....	111
4.1.1- CHALLENGE OF INCONSISTENT AWARDS RENDERED UNDER THE ICSID CONVENTION.....	112
4.1.1.1-Condition linked to the membership:	113
4.1.1.2-Condition linked to the object of the action.....	113
4.1.1.2.1-Scope of the annulment.....	113
4.1.1.2.2-Power of the committee.....	113
4.1.2- CHALLENGE OF INCONSISTENT AWARDS NOT RENDERED UNDER THE ICSID CONVENTION.....	114
4.1.2.1- Challenging the awards at the Place of Arbitration: Application for <i>vacatur</i>	115
4.1.2.2-Challenge of awards at the Enforcement Phase	119
4.1.3-The Difficulties Arising from National Court Intervention	120
4.2-OTHERS REMEDIES TO REDUCE INCONSISTENCY BETWEEN ARBITRAL TRIBUNAL AWARDS	121
4.2.1- AN ANALYSIS OF THE ROLE OF THE ACADEMIC LITERATURE IN REDUCING INCONSISTENCY..	121
4.2.2- AN ANALYSIS OF THE ESTABLISHMENT OF APPELLATE MECHANISM IN THE INVESTMENT ARBITRATION.....	123
4.2.2.1- The advantages of appellate mechanism in investment arbitration	123
4.2.2.2-Different approaches of establishing an appellate mechanism	124
4.2.2.2.1- The BIT approach	124
4.2.2.2.2-The regional approach: NAFTA, CAFTA and COMESA.....	125
4.2.2.2.3- Universal approach: ICJ as appellate body for investment arbitration	126
4.2.3-THE ANALYSIS OF AN APPELLATE MECHANISM UNDER ICSID.....	127
4.2.3.1-The existing tools against inconsistent decisions under ICSID	128
4.2.3.2- The rationale behind the creation of an appellate system in ICSID framework.....	130
4.2.3.2.1-The improvement of consistency	130
4.2.3.2.2-The improvement of Authority of the award rendered under ICSID Facility.....	131
4.2.3.3-The obstacles to the adoption of ICSID proposal to create of the appellate body.....	132
4.2.3.3.1-The procedural obstacle.....	132

4.2.3.3.2- The obstacle linked to implementation of the treaty establishing an appellate facility in ICSID.....	130
4.2.3.3.3-The obstacle linked to the finality issue (Time and Cost).....	133
4.2.3.3.4-The issue of the legitimacy of the appellate body in the ICSID framework	135
4.2.3.4.-The analysis of an alternatives to the ICSID appellate facility	139
4.2.3.4.1-The extension of annulment committee power	139
4.2.3.4.2-The consolidation of cases.....	139
4.2.3.4.3- Reference procedures on controversial point of the law	142
4.2.3.4.4-The recourse to WTO appellate body.....	143
4.2.4-OTHER MEANS TO ENSURE CONSISTENCY	144
4.2.4.1- Substantive reform of the investment treaty:	144
4.2.4.2-Reform of procedural right: the transparency in dispute settlement procedure	147
4.2.4.3-Procedural gate.....	150
4.2.4.4- Scrutiny of awards.....	152
CHAPTER V: FINDINGS AND CONCLUSION.....	155
BIBLIOGRAPHY	173