

**ENMITY AND HEGEMONY
IN THE NORMATIVE PROCESSES OF INTERNATIONAL
CONSTITUTIONALISM**

The case of the international legal action against Terrorism

Master Thesis in International Law
Faculty of Law of the University of
Macau

Ricardo Sousa da Cunha

M-A3-6135-0

Macau, August 2005

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION

CHAPTER I

International Relations and the Law

1. The International Society

2. Normative Limitations of International Relations

2.1 The Concept of Ius Cogens

2.2 Normative Hierarchy in International Law

2.2.1 Some (unsolved) questions on Ius Cogens

2.2.1.1 Double Consent

2.2.1.2 Sources of Law and Sources of Ius Cogens

2.2.1.3 Ius Cogens and international obligations

3. Politically Framing an International Community

3.1 The Rule of International Law

3.1.1 International Constitutional Law

3.1.2 Legitimacy (strictu sensu) of International Law

CHAPTER II

A Cosmopolitan Identity

1. The Cosmopolitan Project

1.1 The Kantian Weltbürgerrecht

1.2 Cosmopolitan Reformulation

1.2.1 Post-national Deliberationism

1.2.2 Cosmopolitan Democracy

1.2.3 Neo-realist Revival

2. Collective Identity and Inclusion

2.1 The Other

2.2 The Inclusion of Alterity

2.2.1 Communitarian Inclusion

2.2.3 Deliberative (global) Democratic Inclusion

2.2.4 Post-Structuralist Critique

2.3 Hegemonic World Order

2.4 The reconfiguration of "the other"

3. A Global Community

3.1 Global Governance

3.1.1 Global Administrative Space

3.1.2 Fragmentation (multi-level networks)

3.1.3 Legitimacy of Global Administrative Action

3.1.3.1 A Domestic Analogy

3.2 Is this as good as it gets?

CHAPTER III

The Case of International Terrorism

1. International Legal Action on Terrorism

1.1 UN action on Terrorism

1.1.1 The UNSC Resolutions

1.1.2 Terrorism at the UNSC

1.1.2.1 The self-defence argument

1.1.2.2 The tyranny of the UNSC

1.1.2.3 The strengthening of the UNSC

1.2 The Ancillary Crimes

1.2.1 International Treaties

1.2.2 UNSC Resolutions

1.2.3 The Financial Action Task Force on Money Laundering

1.2.4 The Egmont Group

2. Terrorism and Normative Deliberationism

2.1 Terrorism and Enmity

2.2 Terrorism, Alterity and International Law

2.3 The Hegemonic Critique

2.2.1 The UN Action on Terrorism

2.2.2 Soft Law

3. The Domestic Regulatory Analogy

3.1 A Supra-national (Global) Criminal Policy?

3.2 Terrorism and Global Administrative Law

3.3 Terrorism and Constitutionalism

3.3.1 The Domestic Legitimizing Analogy

3.3.1.1 The Constitutional role of the UN Charter

3.3.1.2 Is the Organization of the UN reformable (or should it be)?

CHAPTER IV

An emerging “Inter-Constitutionality”

1. Normative Co-existence

2. Functional Interdependency

2.1 Functional Normativity

2.2 The Courts between the Project and the Control

2.3 A Principled Approach?

2.4 A Recent Experience

CONCLUSIONS